

**Tim Cooper**

**The Willows  
5, Berne Avenue  
Newcastle-under-Lyme  
Staffs  
ST5 2QJ**

**Email: arbitration@timcooper.co.uk**

By hand to:

Councillor Mike Stubbs, The Leader  
And, separately, Mrs Elaine Moulton, The Chief Planning Officer  
Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council  
Civic Offices, Merrial Street  
NEWCASTLE  
Staffs  
ST5 2AG

Copies to:

Mr Roger Tait, Head of Operations [one page of petition only included]  
Mrs Helen Beech, Head of Planning Policy [one page of petition only included]  
Mr Mark Bailey, Head of Business [one page of petition only included]

Date 03 February 2015

Dear Mr Stubbs and Mrs Moulton

**Keele – 10.49 ha (25.92 acres) Land at the Butts ('The Butts')  
Joint Local Plan, Green Space Strategy  
Submission and Petition**

**Content:**

Reasons for this submission and petition –	para 1
This submission:	
(a) development, (b) JLP issues –	para 2(a) & (b)
This petition (with the 302 signatories to the petition) -	para 2(c)
Background –	para 3
Objections to development at The Butts generally –	para 4
Objections to development at The Butts specifically:	
Now and in the future (Summary at para 5.1.6)	para 5.1
The JLP (Summary at para 5.2 3)–	para 5.2
Submission summary -	para 6
Petition (with the 302 signatories) -	para 7
Acknowledgement, please -	para 8

'JLP' means Joint Local Plan

'NPPF' means National Planning Policy Framework

'PPG2' means Planning Policy Guidance 2

**1. Reasons for this submission & petition:** The Council, at its 12 November 2014 meeting, identified The Butts 'as appropriate for alternative use or development once key strategy documents have been finalised'. It is reasonable to infer that 'alternative use or development' involves development, a material change of use, of the current open space use. Local residents and others who use The Butts are strongly opposed to its development. It is appreciated that, in the Council's website 'call for sites', it says:



'The submission of sites owned by the council is different to the council's role as the local planning authority. It does not mean that a decision has been taken by the council to sell or redevelop any of the sites it is proposing to submit, nor has a decision been taken to favourably change their current planning policy status through the Joint Local Plan.

The objective of the Joint Local Plan is not to protect to the private interest of a few, including the council's interest's as a landowner, but to plan in the wider public interest. Therefore, the sites submitted by the council will be subject to the same assessments as sites put forward by other land owners, to ensure that the process is carried out in a fair and objective manner, regardless of who owns the land.'

Despite this, very many Newcastle residents are inferring a sale of The Butts and/or housing development on it could be anticipated; this results in serious concerns at the possible loss of this important amenity. Hence, this submission and petition.

**2. This submission & petition:** is made (with the 302 signatories to the petition):

(a) Submission: In connection with any proposed development at The Butts both before and after adoption of the JLP;

(b) Submission: On 'Issues & Strategic Options' in the production of the JLP; and

(c) Petition: To record objection to the Council's decision identifying The Butts 'as appropriate for alternative use or development once key strategy documents have been finalised'; by **petitioning** the Council to revoke its decision or confirm its decision but identifying The Butts 'as suitable for continuing open space/Green Belt', not 'as appropriate for alternative use or development ....'

### **3. Background:**

3.1 In November 2014 the Council responded to the JLP 'call for sites' by identifying numerous sites in the Council's ownership, inferentially suitable for development. The Butts is included in the 'call'. The inclusion of The Butts has resulted in local residents inferring a sale of it and/or housing development on it could be anticipated, with the loss of its important amenity.

3.2 I write to you as a concerned resident of Newcastle and for and behalf of others affected by the Council's decision. I **attach** 'Petition' (16 pages), signed by 302 persons opposing possible development of The Butts - originals to Mr Stubbs, copies to Mrs Moulton. The brief reasons for the opposition to development and the 'petition to revoke' (see para 2(c) above) are stated in the petition.

3.3 It is appreciated that development of The Butts cannot occur without planning permission and the overriding planning criteria are in the NPPF.

3.4 Newcastle's website timetable, for the JLP, says the period for 'Stage 3 – Issues & Strategic Options' is current and ends at the end of the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter in 2015. Accordingly, this submission is also made on 'Issues & Strategic Options'.

### **4. Objections to development at The Butts generally:**

4.1 Now & in the future: As The Butts is currently in the North Staffordshire Green Belt, development inappropriate to the Green Belt should not be permitted now or in the future. The criteria in the NPPF does not exclude the Green Belt policy in PPG2 (see para 5.1.3 below and para 5.1.2, *Timmins*). The PPG2 guidance remains relevant. For specific objections see para 5.1.

4.2 The JLP: I submit The Butts should be retained as Green Belt in the JLP; it is currently within the North Staffordshire Green Belt. The Butts has provided (for many decades) and should continue to provide opportunities for outdoor sport and recreation – evidenced by children's use, dog-walkers, walkers, sledging in the winter.



The Butts is an established significant and attractive natural landscape with important visual amenity and substantial biodiversity. For specific objections see para 5.2.

## **5. Objections to development at The Butts specifically:**

### **5.1 Now and in the future:**

5.1.1 The NPPF is a material consideration in planning decisions and The Government attaches great importance to Green Belts. The general extent of Green Belts across the country is already established (para 82 NPPF) - The Butts is currently in the North Staffordshire Green Belt. Once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances, .... (para 83). As with previous Green Belt policy, inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances (para 87). When considering any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green Belt. 'Very special circumstances' will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations (para 88) - I submit that, having regard to the important characteristic of The Butts (I refer to in para 4.2 above) and the availability of undeveloped land in the JLP area, 'very special circumstances' cannot be established.

5.1.2 The PPG2 guidance remains relevant. In the recent Court of Appeal judgment *R (Timmins & Anr) v Gedling Bor Council & Anr* [2015] EWCA Civ 10, Richards LJ at para 24:

'24. There is no dispute as to the correct general approach towards the interpretation of the NPPF. Policy statements of this kind should be interpreted objectively in accordance with the language used, read as always in its proper context, which is not to say that such statements should be construed as if they were statutory or contractual provisions (see per Lord Reed JSC in *Tesco Stores Ltd v Dundee City Council* [2012] UKSC 13, [2012] PTSR 83, at paragraphs 18-19). The NPPF is on the face of it a stand-alone document which should be interpreted within its own terms and is in certain respects more than a simple carry-across of the language in the guidance it replaced (see *Europa Oil and Gas Limited v Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and Others* [2014] EWCA Civ 825, [2014] JPL 1259, in particular at paragraphs 15 and 32). But the previous guidance, in this case the guidance on Green Belt policy in PPG2, remains relevant. In *Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and Others v Redhill Aerodrome Limited* [2014] EWCA Civ 1386 the Court of Appeal rejected a submission that "any other harm" in paragraph 88 of the NPPF had a narrower meaning than in paragraph 3.2 of PPG2, which would have made it less difficult than under PPG2 to establish the existence of very special circumstances justifying a development. In so doing, the court said this (per Sullivan LJ at paragraphs 16-17):

"16. If it had been the Government's intention to make such a significant change to Green Belt policy in the Framework one would have expected that there would have been a clear statement to that effect. Mr Katkowski accepts that there is no such statement. In my judgment, all of the indications are to the contrary:

(i) While there have been some detailed changes to Green Belt policy in the Framework, protecting the Green Belt remains one of the Core planning principles, the fundamental aim of Green Belt policy to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land open, the essential characteristics of Green Belts, and the five purposes that they serve, all remain unchanged. By contrast with paragraph 86 of the Framework, which does change the policy approach to the inclusion of villages within the Green Belt, paragraph 87 emphasises the continuation of previous Green Belt policy (in PPG2) in respect of inappropriate development: 'As with previous Green Belt policy'.

(ii) The Impact Assessment in respect of the Framework published by the Department for Communities and Local Government in July 2012 said that 'The government strongly supports the Green Belt and does not intend to change the central policy that inappropriate development in the Green Belt should not be allowed'. Under the sub-heading 'Policy Changes' the Impact Assessment said that



'Core Green Belt protection will remain in place'. It then identified four proposed 'minor changes to the detail of current policy' which would resolve technical issues, but not harm the key purpose of the Green Belt, 'as in all cases the test to preserve the openness and purposes of including land in the Green Belt will be maintained'. On the face of it, paragraphs 87 and 88 would appear to constitute 'central policy' which the Government did not intend to change.

(iii) That there was no intention to change this aspect of Green Belt policy is confirmed by the Inspector's statement in paragraph 19 of her decision: that the *River Club* approach to 'any other harm' in the balancing exercise [i.e. the approach under PPG2] is reflected in decisions by the Secretary of State since the publication of the Framework. We were not referred to any decision in which a different approach has been taken to 'any other harm' since the publication of the Framework.

17. I readily accept that these indications are not conclusive. The Framework means what it says, and not what the Secretary of State would like it to mean ... However, if the Framework has effected this change in Green Belt policy it is clear that it has done so unintentionally. Mr Katkowski did not submit that there was any material difference between paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 of PPG2 and paragraphs 87 and 88 of the Framework. He was right not to do so. The text of the policy has been reorganised ... but all of its essential characteristics ... remain the same ...."'

*Timmings*, at para 25:

'25 ... It is submitted that the policy of the former PPG2 with regard to inappropriate development has been carried over into the NPPF: there has been a process of condensation but the policy is unchanged (cf. the opening words of paragraph 87, "As with previous Green Belt policy ...") and the provisions of the NPPF should be read accordingly. The published Impact Assessment, referred to in the passage quoted above from the *Redhill Aerodrome* case, does not identify any relevant change of policy. ....'

5.1.3 Paras 2.6 & 2.7, PPG2 (PPG2 guidance remains relevant) say:

'2.6 Once the general extent of a Green Belt has been approved it should be altered only in exceptional circumstances. If such an alteration is proposed the Secretary of State will wish to be satisfied that the authority has considered opportunities for development within the urban areas contained by and beyond the Green Belt. Similarly, detailed Green Belt boundaries defined in adopted local plans or earlier approved development plans should be altered only exceptionally. ....

2.7 Where existing local plans are being revised and updated, existing Green Belt boundaries should not be changed unless alterations to the structure plan have been approved, or other exceptional circumstances exist, which necessitate such revision.'

5.1.4 The Butts has substantial and significant biodiversity, including wild birds. The NPPF, at para 109 says:

'109 The planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:

- protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, geological conservation interests and soils;
- recognising the wider benefits of ecosystem services;
- minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity where possible, contributing to the Government's commitment to halt the overall decline in biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures; ....'

The NPPF, at para 119 says:

'119 The presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraph 14) does not apply where development requiring appropriate assessment under the Birds or Habitats Directives is being considered, planned or determined.'

The European Directives include conservation of habitats and wild birds. Development of The Butts would be contrary to paras 109 & 119 NPPF and the relevant EU Directives.





5.1.5 Currently, the *Local Planning and Housing Bill*, sponsored by Sir William Cash, is before Parliament. Para 8 reads:

'8 Development on greenfield, green belt and brownfield sites

(1) Planning permission may not be granted for any greenfield or green belt sites unless all brownfield land in the relevant local authority area that is suitable for development has been developed and all necessary steps shall be taken to encourage the use of brownfield sites.

(2) The Secretary of State must make regulations defining "greenfield" and "brownfield" sites for the purposes of subsection (1).'

While not law, this Bill is evidence of intention in considering priority development of brownfield sites which is particularly relevant to planning policy in Newcastle and Stoke-on-Trent (the JLP area).

5.1.6 Summary of objections to development now and in the future at The Butts specifically:

The Butts has important and established recreational, landscape, visual amenity and substantial biodiversity facilities and features and is within the established North Staffordshire Green Belt;

The NPPF directs great importance to Green Belts, supported by PPG2;

Despite para 47 NPPF 'to boost significantly the supply of housing' this is in the context of 'as far as is consistent with the policies set out in [the NPPF]' which policies include attaching great importance to Green Belts and established Green Belts;

For these reasons development at The Butts should not be permitted now or in the future.

5.2 The JLP:

5.2.1 For the reasons I give, where relevant, in para 5.1 above (to avoid repetition) I submit that any suggestion that development of The Butts should be included in the 'second stage' (see *Solihull* below) would be inconsistent with the NPPF, specifically sections 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment).

5.2.2 I note that the Court of Appeal in *Solihull Metropolitan Bor Council v Gallager Estates & Anr* [2014] EWCA Civ 1610 centres on whether Solihull Council carried out an objective assessment of housing needs (the first step in a two-step approach required by para 47 NPPF 'to boost significantly the supply of housing'). The Solihull Council had not carried out such assessment; accordingly, the redrawing of its Green Belt to include two new Green Belt sites was unjustified. I submit that this judgment does not affect my submission that The Butts should be retained in the Green Belt in the JLP. The second stage, in the two-step approach, is to give effect of the first stage (boost housing supply) in the Local Plan save only to the extent that that would be inconsistent with other NPPF policies. The preparation of the JLP will include the first stage (boost housing supply) but I submit that, in the second stage (to give effect to the first stage), policies in sections 9 and 11 and conservation of habitats and wild birds override any allocation of The Butts different from open space/Green Belt.

5.2.3 Summary of submissions on the JLP, 'Issues & Strategic Options', specifically: *Solihull* provides guidance on the two-step approach but does not assist on the possible use of The Butts in the JLP, other than the importance of avoiding inconsistencies with NPPF policies after 'boosting housing supply' (the first stage). Any suggestion that possible development of The Butts should be included in the second stage (to give effect to the first stage) would be inconsistent with the NPPF,



specifically sections 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment).

**6. Summary of this submission:**

For the reasons submitted:

(a) Development: As The Butts is currently in the North Staffordshire Green Belt, development inappropriate to the Green Belt should not be permitted now or in the future, supported by sections 9 and 11 NPPF;

(b) The JLP: On 'Issues & Strategic Options' in the production of the JLP, The Butts should be retained as Green Belt in the JLP in implementing the second stage (giving effect to the 'boosting housing supply', first stage).

**7. Petition (with the 302 signatories to the petition):**

7.1 Newcastle Council is petitioned to revoke its decision to include The Butts 'as appropriate for alternative use or development ....' in the JLP 'call for sites' or to confirm its decision but identify The Butts 'as suitable for continuing open space/Green Belt', not 'as appropriate for alternative use or development ....'

7.2 The reasons for this petition are: (a) it appears the Council has had no regard, or insufficient regard, to sections 9 and 11 NPPF and PPG2; and (b) those reasons detailed in this submission

**8. Acknowledgement:** I invite you to acknowledge receipt of this submission, please; see enclosed.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tim Cooper', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Tim Cooper

Email: [arbitration@timcooper.co.uk](mailto:arbitration@timcooper.co.uk)



# PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
ALDREY ALBRIEY	10 Paris Ave Newcastle <del>Street</del> <del>Area</del>	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
SHEILA O'BRIEY	ditto	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
ROBERT RUSSEL	4 PARIS AVENUE HEUCOSZUS	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
MARGARET RUSSEL	4, PARIS AVE, WESTLANDS, NEWCASTLE	M.A. RUSSEL	15/12/14
C Jennings	41 Meremore DV / 1 Paris Ave	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
JAMIE DAVENPORT	3 PARIS AVE	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
DAN A GIBSON	3 PARIS AVE	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
E HANDLEY	5 PARIS AVE	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
I. ROBSON	7 PARIS AVE	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
E ROBSON	7 PARIS AVE	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
P. BRADBURY	17 PARIS AVE	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
M BRADBURY	17 PARIS AVE	M Bradbury	15/12/14
A FEAZEY	21 PARIS AVE	A Feazey	15/12/14
M HULME	25, Paris Ave.	M Hulme	15/12/14
J. PUMA	31 Paris Ave.	J Puma	15/12/14
Jen Hunt	35 Paris Ave.	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/12/14
Judith Hunter	35 Paris Ave	<i>[Signature]</i>	15.12.14
FREDA MALAND	39 PARIS AVE	F. Maland	15/12
James Maland	39 Paris Ave	<i>[Signature]</i>	15/14
B. RHODES	1, BERNE AVENUE	B. Rhodes	23-1-15



**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
Sow Kye	8, NAPLES DRIVE, WESTLANDS, NEWCASTLE		19/5/14
CAROLINE KYLE	8 Naples Drive, Westlands	Carole -	"
KAREN MCMAHON	10 NAPLES DRIVE WESTLANDS		11
MIKE HORTON	12 NAPLES DRIVE, WESTLANDS	M Horton	19/12/14
EILEEN DURRANT	14 NAPLES DRIVE "	E Durrant	"
PETER CRISTIAN	18 NAPLES DR "	P Cris	19.12.14
FIONA GREEN	28 NAPLES DRIVE	F Green	19/12/14
Ayub Khan	30 Naples Dr. Westlands. NUL		19/12/14
CRAIG JONES	34 Naples Drive		19/12/14
M CARNES	38 Naples Dr	M Carnes	"
A. WESSON	51, Naples Dr	A Wesson	19/12/14
<b>NADA Durrant</b>	49, Naples Drive		19.12.14
Ali Mahmood	49 Naples Drive		19/12/14
ANDREW LOWE	47 Naples Drive	A Lowe	19/12/14
SYWIA HULSE	45 NAPLES DRIVE	S Hulse	19/12/14
DAVID HULSE	45 NAPLES DRIVE		19/12/14
MICHAEL REYNOLDS	41 NAPLES DRIVE	M. J. Reynolds	19.12.2014
BRENDA REYNOLDS	41 NAPLES DRIVE	B. J. Reynolds	19/12/14

W

W

W



**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
M. ROBERTSON	2 VIENNA PL.	M. Robertson	18/12/14
G. SINGH	1 Vienna Pl.	GS	18/12/14
J. RUTTER	35 Naples Drive	J Rutter	18.12.14
H. BERRY	33 NAPLES DRIVE.	H Berry	18/12/14
R. HURST	27. NAPLES DR.	R Hurst	18/12/14
J. ZOMEI	25 Naples DR	J Zomei	18-12-14
J. SOYM	23 Naples Dr	J Soym	18/12/14
A. MENON	21, NAPLES DRIVE	A Menon	18/12/14
C. LAWTON	6 GENEVA DRIVE	C Lawton	18/12/14.
J. LAWTON	6 Geneva Drive	J Lawton	18/12/14
P. REGAN	15 Naples Drive	P Regan	18.12.14
F. E. REGAN	" " "	F E Regan	18/12/14
S. A. SHAMS	11. NAPLES DR.	S A Shams	18/12/14
P. D. MOUNTAIN	5 NAPLES DRIVE	P D Mountain	18/12/14
M. MOUNTAIN	5, Naples Drive	M Mountain	18/12/14
A. MONKS	3 NAPLES DRIVE	A Monks	18-12-14
E. MONKS	3 Naples Drive	E Monks	18/12/14
J. ACIOWES	1 Naples Drive	J Aciowes	18/12/14



**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
C. PRITCHARD	32 Rushton ST5 2HL	myhidd	12-12-14
HELEN HANE	68 Geneva Drive ST5 2QH	H. Lane	12/12/14
RHONDA LINDUP	219 Seabridge Lane ST5 3LS	R. Lindup	12.12.14
Ron Wellscroft	3 LUIGANO CLOSE	R. Wellscroft	12/12/14
Pam Jipping	5, Lugano Close.	P. Jipping	18/12/14
Simon Shenton	7, Lugano Close	Simon Shenton	"
DeShorrock	11 Lugano Close	DeShorrock	18.12.14
T. Green	14 Lugano Close	L. Green	18.12
M. COLE	21 Lugano Close	M. Cole	18.12
CRITCHLOW	23 LUGANO CLOSE	R. Cole	18.12
J CRITCHLOW	23 LUGANO CLOSE	J. Critchlow	
PRICE	25 Lugano Close	Price	
Stobley	care of Mr J W Edge 8 Lugano Close	Stobley	
V. JAYMAN	6 lugano close	V. Jayman	18/12/14
B. JAYMAN	6 LUGANO CLOSE	B. Jayman	18/12/14
L Ward	2 LUGANO CLOSE	L Ward	18/12/14
A. Ward	2. Lugano Close	A. Ward	18/12/14
BRENDA HONEG	4 Vienna Place	B. Honeg	18/12/14
R. AVRIL NEWSUM	3 Vienna Place	Avril Newsom	
JAMES ROBERTS	2 Ulmer Terrace	J. Roberts	18/12/14



<sup>5</sup>  
**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (29.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
TIM COOPER	S, BERNE AVENUE ST5 2QJ		6/12/14
THELMA COOPER	" " "		6/12/14
Andy Dunning	17, BERNE AVENUE, ST5 2QJ		6.12.14
Micola Dunning	17, Berne Ave ST5 2QJ.		6.12.14.
James Dunning	'		'
John Green	32, Berne Ave ST5 2QJ.		6.12.14
Sala Green	" " " "		6.12.14
Eileen Johnson	26, Berne Ave. ST5 2QJ		6.12.14
IAN JOHNSON	" " " ST5 2QJ		6.12.14
KEITH ROSIE	24, " " "		"
Y. WICKRAMASINGHE	22, " " "		06/12/14
S. Wickramasinghe	22, Berne Avenue ST5 2QJ		06/12/14
S. DAWSON	20 Berne Ave. ST5 2QJ		6/12/14
C. ROGERS	9 Berne Ave ST5 2QJ		6.12.14
D. SPARKS	11 Berne Ave ST5 2QJ		6.12.14
AO DAVIES	15 Berne Ave ST5 2QJ		6.12.14
AR. DAVIES	"		"
M. DOWN	12, BERNE Ave. ST5 2QJ		
P Jackson	8 Berne Ave ST5 2QJ		6.12.14
J Jackson	8 Berne Avenue ST5 2QJ		6.12.14



**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
Christine Murray STEPHENS MURRAY	82 Geneva Drive Westlands ST5 2QH	CA Murray	17.1.15
Christine Randall	82 GENEVA DRIVE ST5 2QH	A. J. J. J.	17/1/15
Robert Randall	80 GENEVA DRIVE ST5 2QH	C. Randall	17/1/15
Margaret + Paul Martin	78 Geneva Drive ST5 2QH	R. J. J.	17/1/15
M. Griffiths	78 Geneva Drive ST5 2QH	M. Martin	17/1/15
M. Rogers	72 Geneva Drive ST5 2QH	P. Martin	17-1-05
T.J. HAYNES	66 Geneva Drive ST5 2QH	M. J.	17/01/15
P.W. DEGG	62 GENEVA DRIVE ST5 2QH	M. R.	17/1/15
K. Kuliene	60 " " ST5 2QH	P. Deeg	17.1.15
W.S. Lee	56 " " " ST5 2QH	K. Kuliene	17.1.15
M. Overingham	50 GENEVA DRIVE ST5 2QH	W. S. Lee	17/1/15
PROF. MORICANTI	pp. K. Dale 46 Geneva Drive.	M. Overingham	17-01-15
Denise Morcanti	44 GENEVA DRIVE ST5 2QH	PROF. MORICANTI	17-01-15
Norma Bawan	44 Geneva Drive ST5 2QH	Denise Morcanti	17-01-15
Jean Hunt	40 Geneva Drive ST5 2QH	Norma Bawan	17.01.15
Nicole Allan	34 GENEVA DRIVE ST5 2QH	Jean Hunt	17.01.15
Sarah Awan	178 Knutton Lane ST5 6HA	Nicole Allan	17.01.15
	178 Knutton Lane ST5 6HA	Sarah Awan	17/01/15









**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
S.M. WATKINS	54 PARIS AVE ST5 2RQ	[Signature]	15/12/14
J. BERRISFORD	59 PARIS AVE ST5 2RQ	J. Berrisford	15/12/14
B. GICK	52 Paris Ave ST5 2RQ	B. Gick	15/12/14
R. GICK	" " " " "	R. Gick	15/12/14
P. INGRAM	48 Paris Ave	[Signature]	15/12/14
A. JACKSON	46 " "	A. Jackson	"
D. JACKSON	" " "	[Signature]	"
B. JACKSON	" " "	[Signature]	"
I. WHITE	42 " " Newcastle	I. White	15/12/14
P. HODGKINSON	32 PARIS AVENUE ST5 2RQ	P. Hodgkinson	15-12-14
W. HODGKINSON	32 PARIS AVE ST5 2RQ	[Signature]	15.12.14
R. TONGE	30 " " ST5 2RQ	R. Tonge	15.12.14
C. EDWARDS	28 PARIS AVE ST5-2RQ	[Signature]	15-12-14
J. BROWN	" " " " "	J. Brown	" "
A.K. BROWN	40 Hilltop Ave Bostordorf ST5	A.K. Brown	" "
R. BROAD	26 Paris Avenue ST5 2RQ	[Signature]	15/12/14
D. DEWURST	24 PARIS AVE	[Signature]	15/12/14
B. LONG	20 PARIS AVE	B. Long	"
D. LONG	20 PARIS AVE	D. Long	"
TONY EDGE	16, PARIS AVE.	[Signature]	"



**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
K SAVAGE	41, GALLOWSTREE LANE, WESTLANDS M/C	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/14/14
Joan SAVAGE	41 GALLOWSTREE LANE N/C	J. Savage	8.12.14
Lynne Kind	39 Gallowstreet lane N/C	Lynne Kind	8.12.14
P. KIND	"	<i>[Signature]</i>	"
<del>L. HENSON</del>	3 Monaco Place STS 2QT	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/12/14
P. HENSON	4 " " "	P. Henson	8/12/14
M. J. AUSTIN	5 MONACO PL. STS-2QT	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/12/14
B VAUGHAN	23 Monaco Place STS 2QT	B Vaughan	8/12/14
PORTER	STS	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/12/14
LYNNE	24, MONACO PLACE, 2QT	<i>[Signature]</i>	
MICHAEL SIMMONS	97 PARIS AVENUE STS 2QX	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/12/14
SIMON JACKSON	95 PARIS AVENUE STS 2QX	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/12/14
SARAH JACKSON	95 PARIS AVENUE STS 2QX	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/12/14
<del>JANICE HALLAM</del>	<del>91 PARIS AVENUE STS 2QX</del>	<del><i>[Signature]</i></del>	<del>8/12/14</del>
JANICE HALLAM	91 PARIS AVENUE STS 2QX	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/12/14
DAVID HALLAM	91 PARIS AVENUE STS 2QX	<i>[Signature]</i>	18/12/14
KATE SMALLMAN	85 PARIS AVE STS 2QX	K. Smallman	8/12/14
ARTHUR SMALLMAN	5 " " " "	Arthur Smallman	8/12/14
J HORTON	83 Paris Ave STS 2QX	<i>[Signature]</i>	8.12.14
C WOLTON	81 PARIS AVE STS 2QX	<i>[Signature]</i>	8/12/14
M J M J	79 Paris Ave STS 2QX	M. J. M. J.	8/12/14
J S H	" " " "	<i>[Signature]</i>	8.12.14



**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
Peter Khwan	1 Bernie Ave Newcastle	Peter Khwan	8/12/14
Mrs Ashw	99- Paris Ave Woodlands	D. Ashw	8/12/14
G.H. Price	103 PARIS AVENUE STS 2QP	G. Price	8/12/14
K. Jones	105 Paris Ave STS-2QP	K. Jones	8/12/14
A.C. Jada	" " " "	A.C. Jada	8.12.14
B. Goodwin	107 Paris Ave	B. Goodwin	8/12/14
L.H. deLuz	109, Paris Ave.	L.H. deLuz	8/12/14
S.P. deCruz	109, Paris Ave	S.P. deCruz	8/12/14
N.J. Shaw	47 HOWARD PIC STS 2QA	N.J. Shaw	8/12/14
A. Shaw	115 PARIS AVENUE STS 2QP	A. Shaw	8/12/14
R. Shaw	115 Paris Ave STS 2QP	R. Shaw	8/12/14
I. Brannan	121 Paris Avenue STS 2QP	I. Brannan	8/12/14
W. Brannan	121 Paris Avenue STS 2QP	W. Brannan	8.12.14
R.M. Burt	123 Paris Ave.	R.M. Burt	8.12.14
R.J.W. Cartledge	125 Paris Ave.	R.J.W. Cartledge	8.12.14
R. Adams	33 GALLOW STREET LANE	R. Adams	8.12.14
J. Adams	" " " "	J. Adams	" "
C. Adams	35 GALLOW STREET LANE	C. Adams	8/12/14
R. Ouseley	37. GALLOW STREET LANE	R. Ouseley	8/12/14
G. Ouseley	" " " "	G. Ouseley	8/12/14





# PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
Emily Royall	9 Geneva Drive Westlands, Newcastle Staffs ST5 2QG	E Royall	22/12/14
R.C. Tompkin	155 ORME RD NEWCASTLE STAFFS ST5 2PB	Rc Tompkin	23/12/14
STEVE ROYALL	9 GENEVA DRIVE WESTLANDS NEWCASTLE-U-LYME STAFFS ST5 2QG	S Royall	23/12/14
MARCO SAUENINI	89 STAFFORD CRESCENT, CLASTON ST5 3DZ	M Sauenini	16/23/12/14
Julie Brookes	46 Dimsdale Parade East Wootstarrant, Newcastle ST5 8BU	J Brookes	23/12/14
DONS WILSHAW	Chesterton Lodge loomer Road Chesterton	D Wilshaw	23/12/14
George Brookes	46 Dimsdale Parade East Wootstarrant ST5 8BU	G Brookes	23/12/14
Mark Brookes	46 Dimsdale Parade East Wootstarrant ST5 8BU	M Brookes	23/12/14
VAL GATER	44 STUBBS GATE NEWCASTLE	V Gater	23/12/14
Steve Gater	72 Snyed Ave Westlands	S Gater	23/12/14
K Birkin	11 Bridge St. Silverdale	K Birkin	2/1/15
Choyau	16 CHURCH LANE KNOTTON	C Royall	2/1/15
ROSS CLARA	Corner view Park Rd Silverdale	Ross	2/1/15
I TURNER	24 TURIN DRIVE N/C STAFFS	I Turner	4/1/15
A. IQBAL	99 - PARIS AVENUE	A Iqbal	4/1/15
M. J. JARVIS	24 TURIN DR. ANNEX WESTLANDS	M Jarvis	11/1/15
J.R. TURNER	24 TURIN DRIVE WESTLANDS	J Turner	11
R Aher	99 - PARIS AVENUE	R Aher	4/1/2015
E. DAVIES	106 PARIS AVE	E Davies	15/1/15
DM DAVIES	106 PARIS AVE	D M Davies	21/1/15



**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (29.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
Roger Morgan	28 Turin Drive, Westlands Newcastle	R Morgan	8/12/14
Angie Morgan	—————	AMorgan	8/12/14
John Wallen	26. Turin Drive	John Wallen	8/12/14
Bono Wallen	" "	Bono Wallen	8/12/14
Amanda Anon	22 Turin Drive	A Anon	8/12/14
MWAntu	22 TURIN DRIVE	MWAntu	8/12/14
R. House	20 Turin Drive	R. House	8/12/14
J House	20 TURIN DRIVE	J House	8/12/14
JWHEELER	18 TURIN DRIVE	J Wheeler	8/12/14
P Wheeler	" "	P Wheeler	8/12/14
L.P. Palmer	14 Turin Drive	L.P. Palmer	8/12/14
A.T. HART	12 TURIN DRIVE	A.T. Hart	8/12/14
S. PHILLIPS	10 TURIN DRIVE	S Phillips	8/12/14
S Johnson	10 Turin Drive	S Johnson	8/12/14
J. EVANS	6 TURIN DR, WESTLANDS	J Evans	8/12/14
D.L. EVANS	6. TURIN DR WESTLANDS	D.L. Evans	8/12/14
D EVANS	4 " " "	D Evans	8/12/14
S SHEMILT	7 MILAN DR WESTLANDS STS 200	S SheMilt	8/12/14
M. KAWANG	2 Turin Drive - U/c - STS 200	M Kawang	8/12/14
S. Meller	1 Turin Drive	S. Meller	8/11/14



**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (29.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
PG McILROY	1 Avon Dale	[Signature]	8/12/14
Jim COVEY - WINDSOR	3, TURIN DRIVE	[Signature]	8/12/14
LYNNE CAVIN	" " "	[Signature]	8/12/14
ERIC WINDSOR	7 " "	[Signature]	8/12/14
B WINDSOR	7 " "	[Signature]	8/12/14
M. HOLLIST	11 Turin Drive	[Signature]	8/12/14
UM ROY	17 Turin Drive	[Signature]	10/12/14
John Royes	17 Turin Drive	[Signature]	10/12/14
[Signature]	21 Turin Drive	[Signature]	10/12/14
le Chapman	12 Como Place	[Signature]	10/12/14
J. Davies	10, Como Place	[Signature]	10/12/14
[Signature]	10, Como Place	[Signature]	10/12/14
[Signature]	8 Como Place	[Signature]	10/12/14
A. CROXON-JONES	8 COMO PLACE	[Signature]	10/12/14
B. Dean	6 Como Place	[Signature]	10/12/14
C Dean	6 Como Place	[Signature]	10/12/14
[Signature]	4, COMO PLACE	[Signature]	10/12/14
E Hewitt	4 Como Place	[Signature]	10/12/14
M Hewitt	4 Como Place	[Signature]	10/12/14









**PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (29.92 ACRES)**

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
PAUL WATKIN	3 COMO PLACE, NEWCASTLE, STAFFS. STS 2QN	P. Watkin	10/12/14
MICHELLE WATKIN	3 COMO PLACE, N/C, STAFFS. STS 2QN	M. Watkin	10/12/14
MREDMOND	5 COMO PLACE STS 2QN	[Signature]	10/12/14
MRS EDMOND	5 COMO PLACE STS 2QN	[Signature]	10/12/14
MRS FISHAL	7 COMO PLACE STS 2QN	[Signature]	10/12/14
MR WEST	7 COMO PLACE STS 2QN	[Signature]	10/12/14
T. Brown	9 Como Place STS 2QN	T. Brown	10/12/14
P.M Brown	9 " " " "	P.M.B.	"
P.A Brown	9 " " " "	P.A.B.	"
Z GRIFFIN	11 Como Place, STS 2QN	Z. Griffin	"
P. Weston	15, Como Place		10/12/14
B. Stringer	19, Como Place	B. Stringer	10/12/14
ANN OWEN	3 MILAN DRIVE N/C	A. Owen	15/12/14
B M Boff	11 Milan Dr. N/C	B M Boff	15/12/14
K Durbin	21 Milan Dr. STS 2QN	K. Durbin	
N. Jones	19 Milan Dr	N. Jones	
M Murphy	25 Milan Drive	M. Murphy	15/12/14
F Murphy	" " "	F. Murphy	15/12/14
Z. Crotkey	18 Milan Drive, Westlands	Z. Crotkey	15/12/14



# PETITION IN RELATION TO 'KEELE - LAND AT THE BUTTS' (25.92 ACRES)

We, the undersigned residents of Newcastle-under-Lyme hereby:

1. Register our opposition to Newcastle-under-Lyme Council's decision to include the above Land in the sites identified by the Council as sites Inferentially suitable for development.
2. Petition the Council to revoke its decision in para 1. above because it is ill-conceived.

The Council's decision is ill-conceived because:

The permanent loss of such an important open-space/woodland area is contrary to all planning principles established over decades for people's quality of life and the environment; and

more specifically, it is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework - at para 9 (Protecting Green Belt land) and para 11 (Conserving and enhancing the natural environment), website:

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

Name	Address	Sign	Date
Dan Wolton	81 Paris Avenue		19/12/14
Janet Thomhill	1A Howard Place Westlands	J Thomhill	19/12/14
Maureen Tiddleton	54 Seabridge Rd Newcastle	M. Tiddleton	19/12/14
Karen Wolton	81 Paris Ave Westlands N/C	K Wolton	19/12/14
CHRIS RILEY	27 Sneyd Ave Westlands	C. Riley	19-12-14
PHILIP BOGGS	179 LONDON ROAD, ST4 & BT.		19/12/14
RHONA STONES	37 HOWARD PLACE		18/12/14
MARGARET GRIFFITHS	18 NAPLES DRIVE WESTLANDS N/C	M. Griffiths	19/12/14
MARILYN MILLS	79 Paris Ave Westlands	M. Mills	19/12/14
V.H. Langford	40 Sneyd Avenue	V.H. Langford	19/12/14
O.V. Crow	17 Dulverton Ave	O.V. Crow	19/12/14
J Royall	9 Geneva Drive		22/12/14
Matt Humphrey	81 Paris Avenue		23/12/14
Kath Mace	38 Geneva Drive	K. Mace	25-12-14
DAVE HALL	38, GENEVA DRIVE		25/12/14
PAULA MOSELEY	75 PARIS AVENUE		25/12/14
Steve Moseley	75 PARIS AVENUE		25/12/14
Julia Scott	7 Foxglove Elayton		7.1.15
JULIE BENNETT	67 EMERY AVE ST5 2JS		7-1-15
J. Wells	20, Geneva DR. ST5 2QP	J. Wells	8-1-15

